

Subject: Re: Final Revisions WTC BID Renewal
From: Dennis Rader <dennis.rader@lacity.org>
Date: 05/03/2011 01:04 PM
To: Donald Duckworth <duckworth.donald@gmail.com>
CC: Rick Scott <rick.scott@lacity.org>, Paul Makowski <paul.makowski@lacity.org>

Don,

I found some issues with your documents. Remember that on the MDP/ER I'm only looking at numbers, not text. Any text/wording issues will be addressed by Paul or Rick.

Biggest issue:

1) On your "MDP" copy of the data, the one with the "MDP List" & "Main Database" tabs, you need to trash the Main Database tab so that you don't accidentally use it for anything. That is a completely outdated version that needs to be replaced by the "ER" copy of the data.

On the "ER" version, this data is mostly good, except:

2) Cell C150, you have typed in \$302,175 as the BID budget, and this is used to calculate the rates. The actual assessment total calculated is \$302,144.78

3) For parcel 4122-024-918 the lot benefit unit is 31,494 (typed in). I know that per the MDP the benefit unit for parks is 81%, since there is no formula in the cell, it calculates out to 80.6298% Was it intended this way?

In the MDP:

4) Page 16, 2nd paragraph: text reads \$0.0609, \$0.0304, \$7.5626 & \$3.7813 for various rates which don't correspond to any of the rates in the data or elsewhere in the documents.

In the ER:

5) Page 2-12 5th paragraph: text reads \$302,175 for the total cost of

services in 2012. Actual calculated total from the data is
\$302,144.78

On Sat, Apr 30, 2011 at 4:14 PM, Donald Duckworth

<duckworth.donald@gmail.com> wrote:

I hereby resolve to never ever send another document until Dennis has reviewed the data sheet first, no matter how great the time pressure!! (Furthermore, tonight I will sleep on an ant hill in the back yard!)

Re 1):

I can explain. I made a mistake and forgot to update the "MDP" list as I had the "ER" list with the new data you had given me. My son would be advocating "half-credit;" any chance?

The 2 lists came about as a result of the 1st set of City Clerk comments. The "MDP" list is Appendix 1 of the MDP; the "ER" list attaches to the ER.

Both lists have now been properly updated and are hereby re-re-submitted with the changed date index "110430."

Re 2):

The addition of the new parcel came about as a result of the 2nd set of City Clerk comments. Since this re-submittal is the result of that April 5 meeting, you're right, this is the first time it's been included in the documents that you have seen. I believe that the parcel has been correctly included in both the "MDP" and the "ER" data (#120). I will always defer to your records as to the accuracy of the street frontage figure upon which the parcel is assessed; the figure included was provided by Keyser Marston's mapping group. A full justification of the assessment methodology is provided in the MDP & ER documents. The "ER" spreadsheet generates assessments that, I believe, subject to your review and approval, are exactly as shown in the documents.

I hope this gets me out of your "dog house" Dennis. Thanks for catching my error so quickly.

On Fri, Apr 29, 2011 at 2:17 PM, Dennis Rader <dennis.rader@lacity.org>

wrote:

Don,

I have a few issues with the latest documents:

1) Why does the "ER" data differ from the "MDP" data that you sent? Why are there two versions? One doesn't include any of the ownership/address revisions that I had sent you. Your "MDP list" has all of the old outdated information.

2) What is this new parcel [4122-023-917](#)? This has not been on any previous version of the database or map that I have seen. It's still not in the data, only on your "MDP" parcel/owner list, and on your map. How are you coming up with an assessment amount if it's not in the database? In any case, the frontage & lot size have not been reviewed for this parcel.

Please advise.

On Fri, Apr 29, 2011 at 12:25 PM, Donald Duckworth

<duckworth.donald@gmail.com> wrote:

Here at the final WTC revisions. Let me know as I can assist in any way.
Thank you.

--

Dennis Rader
Technical Research Supervisor
[213-978-1120](tel:213-978-1120)

--

Dennis Rader
Technical Research Supervisor
213-978-1120